



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/501,949

07/21/2004

Gad Talmon

014787.0005

8436

60707 7590 06/17/2009
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE PLLC
C/O CPA Global
P.O. BOX 52050
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

TORRENTE, RICHARD T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/17/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,949	Applicant(s) TALMON ET AL.	
	Examiner RICHARD TORRENTE	Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RICHARD TORRENTE. (3) ZVI ASHANI.

(2) RICHARD JAMES. (4) YOUNG LEE.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: MEYER and LIPTON.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented the novelty of the application versus the references. Applicant proposed some amendments. The Examiner gave some feedback with regards to the proposed amendments. The interview concluded with the applicant implementing the discussed amendments to overcome the current office action rejection with an RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Young Lee/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621